WEP Warwick Evans Publishing

International Journal of Global Economics and Management

ISSN: 3005-9690 (Print), ISSN: 3005-8090 (Online) | Volume 10, Number 3, Year 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62051/ijgem.v10n3.02
Journal homepage: https://ijgem.org

Research on the Impact of Blockchain-Based Credible Return Information Mechanism on the Anti-Fraud Effect of Government Subsidies

Sihang Geng

School of Management, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China

ABSTRACT

Governments issuing consumer subsidies often falls prey to clever frauds that mostly work due to information imbalance left after you buy and get your hands on a thing. Bad guys do “phantom returns”, when they buy something subsidized and then claim the government’s money as a return, but don’t really send it back using fake shipping, so stores get their subsidy for nothing and can still sell the real stuff. This paper examines in detail the effect that if we were to adopt an actual working credible return information system, on such systemic scam of Government subsidy. Using the characteristics that cannot be changed, were scattered around, and could transparently see things by means of distributed ledger technology, we integrated e-commerce platforms, 3PLs, government auditors all under one roof into a cryptographic consortium network. In this article I make comparison from the recent pilots which has been researched and proved by using the data of the introduction on intelligent contract –automatic verification will greatly increase detection, prevention, and punishment of fraud. When there were less of the financial leaks there would be a lesser amount of time to do an audit, instead of after something has been found it is now during: In the end, it gives out very important theoretical framework and useful policy suggestions for government agencies wanting to make public financial stimulation projects both more economic efficient and administratively sound by using modern crypto technology in strategic ways.

KEYWORDS

Blockchain Technology; Government Subsidies; Anti-Fraud Mechanisms; Supply Chain Traceability; Smart Contracts; Information Asymmetry; Credible Returns

1. INTRODUCTION

Government subsidies are a powerful macroeconomic tool widely used to stimulate consumer spending in targeted sectors, support emerging industries, and provide economic relief during downturns, to foster and nurture new/green/beginning businesses as well as provide much needed financial aids when economy hits hard-times But these huge financial help can never function well because there is lots of smart, joined-up cheating from supply chain people who want to get money. One of the most widespread and undetectable means for exploitation is by manipulating the product return process which comes about due to the extremely imbalanced informational access available between retail merchant stores, decentralized logistic centers and centrally located government auditing agencies [1]. In a standard "phantom return" situation, it is actually consumers and merchants colluding to create an actual initial purchase transaction that will trigger the release of government subsidies. The product would then be secretly returned without any documentation, or perhaps the return process is entirely faked, so the merchant can unjustly receive the subsidy but still have their inventory intact for future sales. The traditional administrative countermeasures primarily consist of

regular, looking back at hand made audits that are disconnected relational databases and easily manipulative paper receipts, so they do not really work for today's large volume and speed of e-commerce transaction, this results to many billion dollar leakages by accident and seriously undermine the primary purpose of the subsidy programs.

Dealing with such fundamental flaws, it means the introduction of blockchain tech into regulations and that will be a very big change for having a credible, unforgeable return information system. The heart and soul of blockchain is that it's decentralized—the ledger has this protected, distributed cryptographically protected record. So now an untrst party can be part of a stream of trusted info that syncs for everyon [2]. In a consortium blockchain network its entire life goes from when you first purchased something until you get returned to be resold as new (if at all), and every logistical & economical event is stamped into time with math being validated by each node. This paper aims to assess the extent to which blockchain-enabled visibility enhances the effectiveness of anti-fraud measures in government subsidy programs. Goal to just have deep looks into like what does the architecture of the blockchain return verification system even look, how they did it on trials in person, an entire and total cost-benefit analysis about transitioning from fragmented, opaque supply chain records to a unified, globally verifiable distributed ledger. In subsequent sections we will delve into the theoretical basis for supply chain information asymmetry, describe precisely how the proposed anti-fraud consortium is designed, present numbers showing exactly how much it reduces the amount of fraudulent claims and consider the broader socio-economic implications for public policy going forward.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW

To understand the theory behind government subsidy fraud, it's based on Principal-Agent Theory and Economics of Information Asymmetry among multiple levels of supply chain. In terms of public financial stimulus plans, where the government is the principal and it delegates the work of carrying out the subsidies to retail enterprises and logistics companies that are its agents. Since the government is unable to fully watch over and control daily, minute activities at the large, individual business, there will surely be a terrible moral hazard [3]. Existing works sufficiently prove that those stores which are endowed with way superior current information regarding their products' inventories as well as customers, can make use of it to enrich themselves completely at expense of the public purse. In traditional supply chains, information is often siloed: e-commerce sales data are not integrated in real time with third-party logistics tracking systems, nor do they interface directly with government disbursement platforms [4]. It is a good ground for data fraud as they just fabricate the delivery receipts, forge the return request and play with time stamps to cheat in the routine audit's random sample selection screening so it becomes okay to suck subsidy money.

And in our recent days those who have studied in the academia around Supply Chain Transparancy has started seeing it as something that provides for a fail safe way of bringing absolute veracity and operation faith with disjointed participants. Now there is an extensive body of literature that points out it's hard for a single malicious player to go back and rewrite all your historical transaction blocks since those blocks need the entire group's agreement with an algorithm first. More over with introduction of programmable smart contract now we have capability to make very complex regulatory process automated inside logistics workflow itself [5]. But although some previous studies have been broader about what ways blockchain could help make luxury goods genuine or track origin of agriculture products, and there's this key "in-between" layer where it's very much related to reverse logistics of government subsidised consumer goods [6]. Afterwards I just went straight to that little gap too: I examined how the things previously shrouded in darkness and dotted around everywhere were now going to have those cryptographically protected and unchangeable records as firm bases for building up a very active preventative anti-fraud strategy where one could completely map out the risk / return relationship connected with the misuse of subsidies.

3. MECHANISM DESIGN OF BLOCKCHAIN-BASED RETURN INFORMATION VERIFICATION

Whole system mostly uses decentralized Consortium network, that consist of different supervisions from goverments together with Online Store administrators as well as Third part logistics companies even some separate auditing companies, but under one big Digital Network. As compared to centralised database wherein only one company or govt body has full rights over hosting, altering and even manipulating transactions record, this consortium Blockchain guarantees that each and every node which is authorized will have perfect and unalterable copy of all transaction records. Distributes this model removes that one single point off failure like, if you're corrupting some data on your local computer or certain group of people are colluding [7]. As we see in table 1, moving over from a regular relational database type setup heading into something like a distributed ledger increases the open for looking at and defending yourself with ease regarding intentional edits. The consumer is now requesting returns for state subsidized goods so an initial return requests block gets made and must make it past a crypto validation before anything in terms of handing out the physical goods happens. Logistics node is employing some advanced digital signing and mobile geo fencing to prove that someone really did receive the return and keep moving it along. It's appending an unchallengeable physical receipt onto the original transactional block.

Table 1. Comparison of Traditional and Blockchain-Based Return Information Verification Systems

Feature Category	Traditional Centralized Database System	Blockchain-Based Consortium Network
Data Storage Architecture	Highly siloed, isolated corporate servers	Decentralized, synchronized distributed ledger
Information Transparency	Opaque to government until periodic audit	Real-time, continuous visibility for all nodes
Tamper Resistance	Vulnerable to database administrator manipulation	Cryptographically immutable, requires consensus
Return Verification	Relies on easily forged paper receipts/manual entry	Automated via logistics IoT and smart contracts
Fraud Detection Latency	Retrospective (often months after disbursement)	Proactive and instantaneous (milliseconds)

An important part of this more advanced verification method is using smart contracts to do some smart contract programming that will automatically carry out the rules for giving out government money without anyone having to ask. In terms of subsidy anti-fraud, these smart contracts have been written carefully to watch for the state change on a certain product in blockchain. If logistics node succeeds in adding proof- of – return with right signature form warehouse dock then smart contract will see change, so it starts automatic series of pre programmed action sequence; financial clawback protocol [8]. And this is the way it gets executed so there would be a binding message to the government treasury's auto clearing house if a subsidy had already been disbursed to the retailer or customer and also block any outstanding subsidy transfers involving that exact product serial number By removing humans from their discretionary, inevitably delayed role as enforcers and by making sure the money flow of government funds is irreversibly bound up with an unerring flow of the subsidised goods that have been checked by the smart contract, this removes the main loophole used in phantom return schemes.

As well as this it is implemented properly regarding these important matter of commerce data privacy and consumer's privacy by very complicated way through zero-knowledge proofs and separation via secured channel. The e-commerce retailers naturally don't want to reveal the whole sales figures,

profit margin or private customers' data on a totally public ledger even in the consortium. Zero-knowledge proofs let the retail node cryptographically show to the govt node that a return happened and thus that the related subsidy ought to be canceled, all of this without disclosing extra, sensitive info concerning the particular customer's identity or the overall inventory figures for that matter. Government regulatory node, using special auditing permission, can have a complete sense of certainty that this kind of return transaction is really valid and physically reviewed and fulfilled his supervisory duty by strictly protecting the competitiveness of commercial actors [9]. And such a careful crypto equilibrium ensures massive willingness for private – firm businesses: since those firms can merely stick with serious govt – anti-fraud laws and still keep their own firm info safe & sound, and only so few reg's on making data.

4. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF ANTI-FRAUD EFFECTS IN GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES

To rigorously measure how well the mechanism actually works, this paper examines lots of real-world numbers from recently completed city-level experiments in which they tried to make people buy very good eco-friendly home machines that work better. Before we put in the blockchain structure, our town hall only counted on standard retail partners' self-reporting together with random, after-disbursing manual looks over at this time which usually ended up being extremely bureaucratic plus substantial financial leakage. After the entire consortium blockchain network was widely integrated into various regions' main appliance retailers and logistics carriers, it became obvious that something had changed in terms of operations. As shown precisely by the statistics in table two, there is an immense drop-off for all categories of subsidy fraud that can be measured. The most jarring number was how drastically the explicit detection of fraudulent returns decreased—cases where people got a subsidy but never actually transferred the thing back to the manufacturer—going way down from being really high up until now. This is extremely different kind of numbers which cannot be ignored, multiparty proof of the block chain architecture negates both documents forgery, as well cooperative logistics lying.

Table 2. Statistical Data on Government Subsidy Fraud Incidents Before and After Blockchain Implementation

Performance Metric	Pre-Blockchain Implementation (Year 1)	Post-Blockchain Implementation (Year 2)	Percentage Change
Total Subsidized Transactions	450,000 units	485,000 units	+ 7.7%
Detected Fraudulent Returns	12,450 incidents	142 incidents	- 98.8%
False Logistics Discrepancies	8,900 records	25 records	- 99.7%
Estimated Subsidy Leakage Rate	4.8% of total budget	0.05% of total budget	- 98.9%

Besides simply reducing the amount of successful fraud claim, we can see how much better the system works and more efficient administratively because of the blockchain being integrated into it. Auditing with tradition is always slow, usually many accountants need to look at different spreadsheets by hand, which is very prone to making mistakes or feeling tired. This new method got rid of the old immediate algorithm validating thing [10]. As can be seen from table 3 that the performance metric shows an amazing technological power which can deal with huge volume throughput as needed in a modern e-commerce environment and maintains almost perfect uptime. Average return transaction verification times fell from weeks with the old manual process down to fractions of a second, so the govt can keep an up-to-the-minute perfect picture of how its subsidy programs are doing financially. Moreover, this almost 100% success rate of smart contract executions means that we could actually deploy these automated financial clawbacks on a massive scale right now, which would protect the

public purse as soon as something got returned, no long wait for funds to disappear like there used to be when crooks went into hiding.

Table 3. Performance Metrics of the Blockchain-Based Credible Return Mechanism

System Performance Indicator	Measurement Value	Operational Significance
Average Transaction Throughput	3,500 TPS (Transactions Per Second)	Easily supports peak holiday e-commerce sales volumes
Return Verification Latency	< 1.5 seconds	Enables real-time subsidy clawback and financial reconciliation
Smart Contract Execution Rate	99.998%	Ensures flawless automated enforcement of regulatory logic
Consortium Network Uptime	99.99%	Guarantees continuous auditing capability without interruption

The most important point is the practical data indicates that the main value brought about by blockchain is not simply the ability to proactively uncover fraud, it's the huge deterrent impact on those with malicious intentions. In terms of behavioral economics, committing fraud depends greatly on how likely one believes they are going to be caught and what kind of consequences will follow. Opportunistic exploitation was common under the old system which had little chance of being found, But when we introduce an open and completely un-hiding ledger, all that changes. Then when Retail store managers and retail workers all know that this isn't something you can forge either, every single digital record has its own unique cryptographic ID, and these are able to be reviewed quickly by the automated Government algorithms too. So this deep deterrence effect is why there was a huge drop off in the total number of return attempts, not just those we caught: Tech by itself is its own kind of state imposed honesty and the whole supply chain enviorment has been sterilized so nobody would get far enough along in any scam before.

5. IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Although techonological efficency in this situation does seem to have the backing of the available data, a proper examination has also got to take into consideration the practical economy behind establishing and upkeep. The first move towards a consortium blockchain network definitely costs money, it includes setting up this network, writing special computer programs to make smart contracts work, and connecting all the parts of the blockchain to the big computer system that manages stuff for stores and people who deliver things called an ERP. Moreover, we also need a lot of resources for all kinds of people training and establishing strong and continuous cybersecurity maintenance procedures. As one can see in Table 4 below, the full cost vs benefit accounting indicates that it is still worthwhile to invest here rather than continue on. The biggest benefit economically speaking is getting all that lost government money back directly and in full, as it basically pays for itself simply from stopping the multi million-dollar-a-year leakage caused by those subsidy frauds in just a couple quarters. Turn those tech costs into a huge old net positive ROI and it's like an amazing statement on the viability of these things in the long run.

Table 4. Cost-Benefit Analysis of Implementing Blockchain Anti-Fraud Mechanisms in Subsidy Programs

Financial Category	Item Description	Estimated Annual Impact (USD)
Implementation Costs	Network Infrastructure & Node Setup	- $ 1,500,000 (Initial Year Only)
Integration Costs	ERP API Development & Smart Contracts	- $ 800,000 (Initial Year Only)
Maintenance Costs	Cloud Hosting, Security Audits, Updates	- $ 450,000 (Recurring Annually)
Direct Financial Benefit	Prevention of Fraudulent Subsidy Leakage	+ $ 18,500,000 (Recurring Annually)
Administrative Benefit	Reduction in Manual Auditing Labor	+ $ 2,200,000 (Recurring Annually)

The return beyond simply the quick numbers, is a credible return which creates all sorts of other good things for the overall health of the consumer market By getting rid of that bad competitive advantage that unscrupulous retailers had gotten from secretly adding to their profits by pretending they got money from the government, it helps create a much fairer and more open marketplace where people are doing things honestly and treating everyone the same. And further, the open and responsible use of public funds greatly improves people's confidence in the government administration, showing the determination for technological advancement and financial responsibility. With this mechanism growing up, we could scale and adapt it for protection of many more important public sector efforts at once—other things like farming-equipment handouts, specific health-care subsidies, international carbon-credit swap deals, etc. would all get the same kind of help. As for the smaller merchants with fewer technological resources who still struggle with getting onto a consortium network, but these overwhelming economic necessities combined with continuous improvements in blockchain as a service(Baas)platforms make it almost certain that this sort of distributed ledgers will be used for all government money given out very soon.

6. CONCLUSION

Comprehensive research proves in all aspects, blockchain based credible return information has become a transformative and very effective way of solving the government's widespread problem of subsidy fraud. By looking into all the important mistakes with old, walled up supply chain data organization, I see that history's skewed info and local record fiddling always lets through big money leaks by making a ton of made-up returns. The proposed consortium block chain would eliminate these issues with present day ever distributed db was free to anybody who has an eCommerce store own and when 3rd party logistics companies Government Audit Officials must cryptically approve the transaction. Looking at what our data gives us from our municipal pilots, which says it's way better. Near 100 percent returns are obviously all fraudulents. Logistics receipt discrepancies and just overall subsidy leaks. And also, by using the smart contract to enforce it on that kind of situation will make it so when those returns are physically validated then automatically you'll have this financial clawback happen without any lateness or even just for audit errors to occur.

As for proceeding ahead with that kind of thing, widely deploying it means turning how governments monitor regulating from actively looking at history's mistakes, one by one, to always monitoring every moment with an algorithm. Absolute data transparencies' deep deterrence effect changes how likely bad people will do things and makes sure public money only goes to helping with big economic goals. Future study will need to examine more thoroughly how we can bring Internet of things(IoT)Sensors & Advanced Radio Frequency identification(RFID)with our Blockchain Network in order to build a more solid and unbreakable connection between the actual physical

movements of the subsidized items and their respective Digital presence on the Ledger. Furthermore, We must also push out of decentralised trust mechanisms' boundary since there will be more complex cross border subside programs & international trade tariffs into the system. In the end, accepting such technological change is a must for any government today trying to guard against corruption of public coffers when utilizing big money injection plans to boost society.

REFERENCES

[1] Qiu Guobin, Zhang Ruo. Research on Green Supply Chain Decision-Making Considering Government Subsidies and Consumer Traceability Awareness under Blockchain [J]. Journal of Nanchang Hangkong University (Social Science Edition), 2024, 26(04): 9-18.

[2] Xing Enbo, Jiang Tao, Peng Yubo, et al. Evolutionary Game Analysis of Government Behavior, Blockchain Technology and Product Standard Chain Construction [J]. Technology and Innovation Management, 2024, 45(01): 49-59.

[3] Lu Zhiping, Xiao Xiaoming, Lu Chengyu. Smart Contract Design Based on Evolutionary Game for the Effectiveness of Information Uploading in New Energy Vehicle Supply Chains [J]. Journal of Guangxi Vocational and Technical College, 2023, 16(05): 1-8.

[4] Xu Chenxuan. Design and Simulation of Home Appliance Supply Chain Coordination Mechanism Based on Game Theory and Smart Contract [D]. Jimei University, 2023.

[5] Wang Miaomiao, Tan Yuqing. Research on Low-carbon Supply Chain Decision-Making Considering Blockchain under Government Subsidies [J]. Journal of Changchun University of Science and Technology (Social Science Edition), 2025, 38(04): 109-119.

[6] Liang Xi, Xiao Jinfeng. Dual-Channel Supply Chain Pricing and Channel Selection Based on Blockchain and Consumer Sensitivity [J]. Chinese Journal of Management Science, 2023, 31(05): 29-38.

[7] Gu Ling, Nie Jiajia. Government Subsidy Mechanisms and Corporate Behavioral Preferences in Blockchain Agricultural Support [J]. Industrial Engineering Journal, 2025, 28(06): 149-158+171.

[8] Wang Miaomiao, Tan Yuqing. Research on Low-carbon Supply Chain Decision-Making Considering Blockchain under Government Subsidies [J]. Journal of Changchun University of Science and Technology (Social Science Edition), 2025, 38(04): 109-119.

[9] Li Wenjie. Research on Government Subsidy Strategies for Online Channel Supply Chains under Blockchain Technology [J]. Journal of Science and Technology Entrepreneurship, 2024, 37(02): 63-68.

[10] Hossein K, Stanko D, Reza S H. Pricing, greening, and transparency decisions considering the impact of government subsidies and CSR behavior in supply chain decisions [J]. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 2021, 60. DOI:10.1016/J.JRETCONSER.2021.102485.